UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,137	07/12/2006	Stephan Koch	20794/0204605-US0	6536
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 09/29/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street S	tation	HECKERT, JASON MARK		
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/597,137	KOCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JASON HECKERT	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	pante Quayre, 1000 0.2. 1.1, 10	5 G.G. 2.6.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-19 is/are rejected. 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 9-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examiner does not understand the structure of the "pivotable cup support". Unless such a structure is known to one of ordinary skill of the art, it should be better described in the claims and specification. The limited views of the drawings do not sufficiently depict the structure or function of the cup support.

 Additionally, examiner is confused as to whether the device actually supports cups, or if it is a cup-shaped element that supports the rack. Such an understanding is essential for further prosecution. Due to the fact that the specification asserts that the cup support is an essential element of the patentable subject matter, it is necessary to clarify the structure for further prosecution. Due to such ambiguities, said claims are impossible to examine on the merits.
- 3. In claim 12, "the cup support" lacks antecedent basis. Please revise.

Drawings

4. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because Figures 1, 3, 3.1, 7, and 8 are dark, smudgy, and indecipherable. It is difficult to determine where the reference numerals are pointing as well as difficult to gain any understanding of how the apparatus works.

Art Unit: 1792

5. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8, 15-19. rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochoa et al in view of VanderRoest et al. Ochoa et al. discloses a dishrack 16 comprising wire members, a feed tube for a spray arm 72, and intensive washing zone disposed in a region of the dish rack comprising rod-shaped pipe elements 32 having multiple nozzles 44 disposed on the periphery, and a water connection, or pipe branch, 26 that communicates with a T-piece. The pipe element is attached beneath the rack to the wire members by clips 31. The spray tubes 32 are rotatably mounted in each elbow 30 and support mechanism 33 (col. 3 lines 15-45). While Ochoa discloses a pump that could read on an actuator, it does not selectively enable the separate washing zone. VanderRoest discloses a valve 40 that selectively diverts wash liquid from a conduit to a intensive spray manifold 29. The manifolds have two halves, 31 and 32. At least one area allows for the mounting of the valve actuation means (see figure 3), and a second

Art Unit: 1792

portion is configured to selectively open and close to allow the passage of water (see figure 4B). Thus VanderRoest discloses the actuation means as claimed. It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Ochoa et al. and include the actuation means of VanderRoest in order to selectively supply fluid to the extra washing zone.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HECKERT whose telephone number is (571)272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Art Unit: 1792

JMH